DOC 1: Diversity Fall 08

Student's Name: _____

EVALUATION RUBRIC

Attach this sheet to the final draft of your paper.

A (90-100)

| | Superior understanding of readings; complex and thoughtful exploration of the readings and assignment |
|---|---|
| Thesis | specific, cohesive, and clear; effectively argues for HOW one author's claims challenge or expand on a claim/argument made by the other author and WHY this connection is important; insightful link established between two authors' claims |
| Key Terms and Concepts | clearly defined and explained according to authors with sufficient and well-chosen detail |
| | offers thorough support and explanation; quotes introduced, analyzed, and clearly tied to topic sentence claims |
| Argument | focused on the authors; clear, coherent, effective organization; topic sentences establish complex relationship between authors' concepts and evidence; smooth and logical development of supporting claims; paragraph fully developed and supported |
| Conclusion | convincing, reminds readers WHY the connection between the two readings is important to consider; extrapolates the readings into a larger context |
| Editing | sentences well-written with very few errors (e.g., correct use of verb tenses; active verbs; good word choices; complete, grammatically sound) |
| MLA Format | error-free in-text citation; accurate Works Cited page |
| B (80-89) | |
| | strong understanding of readings; clearly addresses the assignment |
| Thesis | arguable, clear, but may lack complexity in analyzing HOW one author's claims challenge or expand on a claim/argument made by the other author and WHY this connection is important; good link established between authors' claims |
| Key Terms and Concepts | defined and explained according to authors, but may lack complexity or depth |
| Evidence (Examples) | clear support and adequate explanation; quotes introduced, incompletely tied to thesis and topic sentences |
| Argument | focused on the authors; well-organized; topic sentences clearly state relationship between authors/readings |
| | and offer main points that support the thesis; topic sentences show logical development of ideas with good transitions between main points; some flaws in connecting concepts and evidence |
| Conclusion | draws a conclusion, explains significance of reading these authors together |
| Editing | good sentence structure; a few minor errors |
| MLA Format | correct format, though some in-text errors, a few errors on Works Cited page |
| C (70-79) | |
| | adequate understanding of readings; may have minor misreading or neglect parts of assignment |
| | overly general thesis, simplistic; not focused clearly on the authors' claims |
| | vaguely defined and not clearly linked to authors |
| | supports thesis, but needs more explanation; quotes vaguely tied to the thesis and topic sentences |
| Argument | vague, unclear organization of ideas with little explanation of evidence; few clear topic sentences; or too many topics in paragraphs; may have topic sentences without supporting details or details without connection to topic sentences |
| | vague explanation of how these readings connect and create new understandings |
| | wordy, vague or unclear sentences; several editing errors, but generally readable |
| MLA Format | all sources cited, but several technical errors; Works Cited page included but incomplete |
| D (60-69) | |
| | |
| | incomplete or inaccurate understanding of readings and assignment |
| Thesis | difficult to identify – no clear thesis; not arguable |
| Thesis Key Terms and Concepts | difficult to identify – no clear thesis; not arguablenot defined in terms of authors |
| Thesis Key Terms and Concepts Argument | difficult to identify – no clear thesis; not arguablenot defined in terms of authorspoorly organized; thesis statements not connected to thesis; evidence poorly introduced, not explained, not connected to topic sentences; inaccurate explanation of concepts and evidence |
| Thesis | difficult to identify – no clear thesis; not arguablenot defined in terms of authorspoorly organized; thesis statements not connected to thesis; evidence poorly introduced, not explained, not connected to topic sentences; inaccurate explanation of concepts and evidence merely restates thesis; contradicts thesis; no explanation of link between the readings |
| Thesis | difficult to identify – no clear thesis; not arguablenot defined in terms of authorspoorly organized; thesis statements not connected to thesis; evidence poorly introduced, not explained, not connected to topic sentences; inaccurate explanation of concepts and evidence merely restates thesis; contradicts thesis; no explanation of link between the readingsmany errors, shows lack of proofreading, interferes with understanding |
| Thesis | difficult to identify – no clear thesis; not arguablenot defined in terms of authorspoorly organized; thesis statements not connected to thesis; evidence poorly introduced, not explained, not connected to topic sentences; inaccurate explanation of concepts and evidence merely restates thesis; contradicts thesis; no explanation of link between the readings |
| Thesis Key Terms and Concepts Argument Conclusion Editing MLA Format F (59 and be | difficult to identify – no clear thesis; not arguablenot defined in terms of authorspoorly organized; thesis statements not connected to thesis; evidence poorly introduced, not explained, not connected to topic sentences; inaccurate explanation of concepts and evidencemerely restates thesis; contradicts thesis; no explanation of link between the readingsmany errors, shows lack of proofreading, interferes with understandingsources are missing or poorly cited; no Works Cited page low) Any one of the following justifies an "F," and PLAGIARISM always does: |
| Thesis Key Terms and Concepts Argument Conclusion Editing MLA Format F (59 and be | difficult to identify – no clear thesis; not arguablenot defined in terms of authorspoorly organized; thesis statements not connected to thesis; evidence poorly introduced, not explained, not connected to topic sentences; inaccurate explanation of concepts and evidencemerely restates thesis; contradicts thesis; no explanation of link between the readingsmany errors, shows lack of proofreading, interferes with understandingsources are missing or poorly cited; no Works Cited page |

Reading Understanding........Fails in attempt to discuss the readings and address the assignment; may be deliberately off-topic Thesis, Examples, and Claimsno thesis or supporting argument

Key Terms and Conceptsnot identified or defined

Editingabundant errors; no proofreading

MLA Formatno citations given and/or no Works Cited page

WebCT/Turnitin.com.....paper not submitted online; plagiarism detected and verified

TA Comments: